

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,710	02/09/2004	Joseph B. Kaul	28570/39829A 9545	
4743 7:	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
6300 SEARS T 233 S. WACKI	- ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60606		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/774,710	KAUL ET AL.	J				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Safavi	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 F	February 2004.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	_						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/09/04</u> .	_	Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,710

Art Unit: 3673

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the present abstract does not present a concise statement of the full technical disclosure of the patent application including any processes or methods. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal, Jr. in view of Benson et al.

Cardinal, Jr. discloses, Figs. 2 and 4, a method of lining a surface with a geosynthetic liner 4 utilizing a dispenser having base 7, with a chassis or carriage mounted upon the base. A pair of cradle rollers is at 9, 10 supporting the geosynthetic roll. Guide roller is at 17. Cardinal, Jr. desires an appropriate overlap between sheets of material when placed on the ground.

Benson et al. discloses, Figs. 3 and 4, a dispenser for roll of material having movable base 16, with a chassis or carriage transversely movable, (by actuator), upon the base, lines 4-6 of the abstract and col. 1, lines 50-65. Benson also discloses a pair of cradle rollers 33, 34, guide roller 24 or 25, and a pair of adjustable alignment arms as can be seen in upper portion of Fig. 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,710

Art Unit: 3673

To have provided any of the trucks of Cardinal, Jr. with a transversely movable carriage, (by actuator means), thus allowing for an appropriate overlap of material along either side of the truck, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Benson et al.

3. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal, Jr. in view of Benson et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 12, 14-16, and 18-20 above, and further in view of Barazone.

Barazone discloses a pair of adjustable width alignment arms 44 to sandwich a roll of material therebetween with hydraulic piston 50 serving to actuate the alignment arms.

To have provided the modified Cardinal, Jr. assembly with adjustable width alignment arms, thus providing for a secure support for the roll of material as well as allow ease of material installation, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Barazone. To have further provided for hydraulic piston actuators to move the modified Cardinal, Jr. assembly carriage, thus utilizing a strong actuating means, would have constituted a further obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Barazone's pistons 50.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,710

Art Unit: 3673

4. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal, Jr. in view of Benson et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 12, 14-16, and 18-20 above, and further in view of Schwien et al.

Schwien et al. discloses a pair of adjustable width alignment arms 72 to sandwich a roll of material therebetween. To have provided the modified Cardinal, Jr. assembly with adjustable width alignment arms, thus providing for a secure support for the roll of material as well as allow ease of material installation, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Schwien et al.

5. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal, Jr. in view of Benson et al. and Schwien et al. as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Barazone.

Barazone discloses a pair of adjustable width alignment arms 44 to sandwich a roll of material therebetween with hydraulic piston 50 serving to actuate the alignment arms.

To have provided the telescoping, rotatable adjustable width alignment arms of the modified Cardinal, Jr. assembly with hydraulic piston actuators, thus providing a forceful and sturdy actuating device, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Barazone. To have further provided for hydraulic piston actuators to move the modified Cardinal, Jr. assembly carriage, thus utilizing a strong actuating means, would have

Application/Control Number: 10/774,710 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

constituted a further obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Barazone's pistons 50.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354

M. Safavi October 28,2004